# MIXING RANK-ONE ACTIONS FOR INFINITE SUMS OF FINITE GROUPS

BY

ALEXANDRE I. DANILENKO\*

Institute for Low Temperature Physics & Engineering of Ukrainian National Academy of Sciences 47 Lenin Ave., Kharkov, 61164, Ukraine e-mail: danilenko@ilt.kharkov.ua

#### ABSTRACT

Let G be a countable direct sum of finite groups. We construct an uncountable family of pairwise disjoint mixing (of any order) rank-one strictly ergodic free actions of G on a Cantor set. All of them possess the property of minimal self-joinings (of any order). Moreover, an example of rigid weakly mixing rank-one strictly ergodic free G-action is given.

# 0. Introduction and definitions

This paper was inspired by the following question of D. Rudolph:

QUESTION: Which countable discrete amenable groups G have mixing (funny) rank-one free actions?

Recall that a measure preserving action  $T = (T_g)_{g \in G}$  of G on a standard probability space  $(X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu)$  is called

- mixing if  $\lim_{g\to\infty} \mu(A \cap T_g B) = \mu(A)\mu(B)$  for all  $A, B \in \mathfrak{B}$ ,
- mixing of order l if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $A_0, \ldots, A_l \in \mathfrak{B}$ , there exists a finite subset  $K \subset G$  such that

$$|\mu(T_{g_0}A_0\cap\cdots\cap T_{g_l}A_l)-\mu(A_0)\cdots\mu(A_l)|<\epsilon$$

for each collection  $g_0, \ldots, g_l \in G$  with  $g_i g_j^{-1} \notin K$  if  $i \neq j$ ,

<sup>\*</sup> The work was supported in part by CRDF, grant UM1-2546-KH-03. Received March 1, 2005 and in revised form August 9, 2005

- weakly mixing if the diagonal action  $T \times T := (T_g \times T_g)_{g \in G}$  of G on the product space  $(X \times X, \mathfrak{B} \otimes \mathfrak{B}, \mu \times \mu)$  is ergodic,
- totally ergodic if every co-finite subgroup in G acts ergodically,
- **rigid** if there exists a sequence  $g_n \to \infty$  in G such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mu(A\cap T_{g_n}B)=\mu(A\cap B)\quad\text{for all }A,B\in\mathfrak{B}.$$

We say that T has **funny rank one** if there exist a sequence of measurable subsets  $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in X and a sequence of finite subsets  $(F_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in G such that the subsets  $T_g F_n$ ,  $g \in F_n$ , are pairwise disjoint for any n and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \min_{H \subset F_n} \mu \left( B \bigtriangleup \bigsqcup_{g \in H} T_g A_n \right) = 0 \quad \text{for every } B \in \mathfrak{B}.$$

If, moreover,  $(F_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a subsequence of some 'natural' Følner sequence in G, we say that T has **rank one**. For instance, if  $G = \mathbb{Z}^d$ , this 'natural sequence' is just the sequence of cubes; if  $G = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i$  with every  $G_i$  a finite group, the sequence  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} G_i$  is 'natural', etc.

Up to now various examples of mixing rank-one actions were constructed for  $-G = \mathbb{Z}$  in [Or], [Ru], [Ad], [CrS], etc.,

- $-G = \mathbb{Z}^2$  in [AdS],
- $-G = \mathbb{R}$  in [Pr], [Fa],
- $G = \mathbb{R}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{Z}^{d_2} \text{ in [DaS]}.$

We also mention two more constructions of rank-one actions for

- $G = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  in [Ju], where it was claimed that the Z-subaction is mixing but it was only shown that it is weakly mixing, and
- G is a countable Abelian group with a subgroup  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  such that the quotient  $G/\mathbb{Z}^d$  is locally finite in [Ma], where it was proved that a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -subaction is mixing and it was asked whether the whole action is mixing.

Notice that in all of these examples G is Abelian and has elements of infinite order. In contrast to that we provide a different class of groups for which the answer to the question of D. Rudolph is affirmative.

THEOREM 0.1: Let  $G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i$ , where  $G_i$  is a non-trivial finite group for every *i*.

- (i) There exist uncountably many pairwise disjoint (and hence pairwise nonisomorphic) mixing rank-one strictly ergodic actions of G on a Cantor set. Moreover, these actions are mixing of any order.
- (ii) There exists a weakly mixing rigid (and hence non-mixing) rank-one strictly ergodic action of G on a Cantor set.

342

Concerning (i), it is noteworthy that any mixing rank-one Z-action is mixing of any order by [Ka] and [Ry] (see also an extension of that to actions of some Abelian groups with elements of infinite order in [JuY]). We do not know whether this fact holds for all mixing rank-one action of countable sums of finite groups.

To prove the theorem, we combine the original Ornstein's idea of 'random spacer' (in the cutting-and-stacking construction process) [Or] and the more recent (C, F)-construction developed in [Ju], [Da1], [Da2], [DaS1], [DaS2] to produce funny rank-one actions with various dynamical properties. However, unlike all of the known examples of (C, F)-actions, the actions in this paper are constructed without adding any spacer (cf. with [Ju], where all the spacers relate to Z-subaction only). Instead of that on the *n*-th step we just cut the *n*-'column' into 'subcolumns' and then rotate each 'subcolumn' in a 'random way'. In the limit we obtain a topological G-action on a compact Cantor space.

Our next concern is to describe all ergodic self-joinings of the G-actions constructed in Theorem 0.1. Recall a couple of definitions.

Given two ergodic G-actions T and T' on  $(X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu)$  and  $(X', \mathfrak{B}', \mu')$  respectively, we denote by J(T, T') the set of **joinings** of T and T', i.e. the set of  $(T_g \times T'_g)_{g \in G}$ -invariant measures on  $\mathfrak{B} \otimes \mathfrak{B}'$  whose marginals on  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}'$  are  $\mu$ and  $\mu'$  respectively. The corresponding dynamical system  $(X \times X', \mathfrak{B} \otimes \mathfrak{B}', \mu \times \mu')$ is also called a joining of T and T'. By  $J^e(T, T') \subset J(T, T')$  we denote the subset of ergodic joinings of T and T' (it is never empty). In a similar way one can define the joinings  $J(T_1, \ldots, T_l)$  for any finite family  $T_1, \ldots, T_l$  of G-actions. If  $J(T_1, \ldots, T_l) = \{\mu_1 \times \cdots \times \mu_l\}$  then the family  $T_1, \ldots, T_l$  is called **disjoint**. If  $T_1 = \cdots = T_l$  we speak about *l*-fold self-joinings of  $T_1$  and use notation  $J_l(T)$  for  $J(\underline{T}, \ldots, \underline{T})$ . For  $g \in G$ , we denote by  $g^{\bullet}$  the conjugacy class of g. We

also let

$$FC(G) := \{g \in G | g^{\bullet} \text{ is finite}\}.$$

Clearly, FC(G) is a normal subgroup of G. If G is Abelian or G is a sum of finite groups then FC(G) = G. For any  $g \in FC(G)$ , we define a measure  $\mu_{g^{\bullet}}$  on  $(X \times X, \mathfrak{B} \otimes \mathfrak{B})$  by setting

$$\mu_{g^{\bullet}}(A \times B) := \frac{1}{\#g^{\bullet}} \sum_{h \in g^{\bullet}} \mu(A \cap T_h B).$$

It is easy to verify that  $\mu_{g^{\bullet}}$  is a self-joining of T. Moreover, the map  $(x, T_h^{-1}x) \mapsto (x, h)$  is an isomorphism of  $(X \times X, \mu_{g^{\bullet}}, T \times T)$  onto  $(X \times g^{\bullet}, \mu \times \nu, \widetilde{T})$ , where

 $\nu$  is the equidistribution on  $g^{\bullet}$  and the G-action  $\widetilde{T} = (\widetilde{T}_t)_{t \in G}$  is given by

$$\widetilde{T}_t(x,h)=(T_tx,tht^{-1}),\quad x\in X,\ h\in g^\bullet.$$

It follows that  $\widetilde{T}$  (and hence the self-joining  $\mu_{g^{\bullet}}$  of T) is ergodic if and only if the action  $(T_t)_{t \in C(g)}$  is ergodic, where  $C(g) = \{t \in G | tg = gt\}$  stands for the centralizer of g in G. Notice also that C(g) is a co-finite subgroup of G because of  $g \in FC(G)$ . Hence  $\{\mu_{g^{\bullet}} | g \in FC(G)\} \subset J_2^e(T)$  whenever T is totally ergodic. Definition 0.2: If  $J_2^e(T) \subset \{\mu_{g^{\bullet}} | g \in FC(G)\} \cup \{\mu \times \mu\}$  then we say that T has **2-fold minimal self-joinings** (MSJ<sub>2</sub>).

This definition extends naturally to higher order self-joinings as follows. Given  $l \ge 1$  and  $g \in G^{l+1}$ , we denote by  $g^{\bullet l}$  the orbit of g under the G-action on  $G^{l+1}$  by conjugations:

$$h \cdot (g_0, \ldots, g_l) := (hg_0h^{-1}, \ldots, hg_lh^{-1}).$$

Let P be a partition of  $\{0, \ldots, l\}$ . For an atom  $p \in P$ , we denote by  $i_p$  the minimal element in p. We say that an element  $g = (g_0, \ldots, g_l) \in FC(G)^{l+1}$  is P-subordinated if  $g_{i_p} = 1_G$  for all  $p \in P$ . For any such g, we define a measure  $\mu_{g^{\bullet l}}$  on  $(X^{l+1}, \mathfrak{B}^{\otimes (l+1)})$  by setting

$$\mu_{g^{\bullet l}}(A_0 \times \cdots \times A_l) := \frac{1}{\#g^{\bullet l}} \sum_{(h_0, \dots, h_l) \in g^{\bullet l}} \prod_{p \in P} \mu\left(\bigcap_{i \in p} T_{h_i} A_i\right).$$

It is easy to verify that  $\mu_{g^{\bullet l}}$  is an (l+1)-fold self-joining of T. Reasoning as above one can check that  $\mu_{g^{\bullet l}}$  is ergodic whenever T is weakly mixing.

Definition 0.3: We say that T has (l+1)-fold minimal self-joinings  $(MSJ_{l+1})$  if

 $J_{l+1}^{e}(T) \subset \{\mu_{q^{\bullet l}} | g \text{ is } P \text{-subordinated for a partition } P \text{ of } \{0, \dots, l\}\}.$ 

If T has  $MSJ_l$  for any l > 1, we say that T has MSJ.

In case G is Abelian, these definitions agree with the—common now—definitions of  $MSJ_{l+1}$  and MSJ by A. del Junco and D. Rudolph [JuR] who considered self-joinings  $\mu_{g^{\bullet l}}$  only when g belongs to the center of  $G^{l+1}$ . However, we find their definition somewhat restrictive for non-commutative groups since, for instance, countable sums of non-commutative finite groups can never have actions with  $MSJ_2$  in their sense.

Now we record the second main result of this paper.

# THEOREM 0.4: The actions constructed in Theorem 0.1(i) all have MSJ.

We notice that a part of the analysis from [Ru] can be carried over to the case of G-actions with MSJ. In this paper we only show that such actions have trivial product centralizer. Moreover, as follows from [Da3], every G-action with  $MSJ_2$  is effectively prime, i.e. has no factors except for the obvious ones: the sub- $\sigma$ -algebras of subsets fixed by finite normal subgroups in G. In particular, there exist no free factors.

We now briefly summarize the organization of the paper. In Section 1 we outline the (C, F)-construction of rank-one actions as it appeared in [Da1]. In Section 2, for any countable sum G of finite groups, we construct a (C, F)-action T of G which is mixing of any order. A rigid weakly mixing action of G also appears there. In Section 3 we demonstrate that T has MSJ. In Section 4 we show how to perturb the construction of T to obtain an uncountable family of pairwise disjoint mixing rank-one G-actions with MSJ. In the final Section 5 we discuss some implications of MSJ: trivial centralizer, trivial product centralizer and effective primality.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: The author thanks the referee for the useful suggestions that improved the paper. In particular, in the present proof of Theorem 0.4 we deduce  $MSJ_l$  from the *l*-fold mixing (as J. King does for  $\mathbb{Z}$ -actions in [Ki]). Our original proof (independent of multiple mixing) was longer and noticeably more complicated.

# 1. (C, F)-construction

In this section we recall the (C, F)-construction of rank-one actions.

From now on  $G = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i$ , where  $G_i$  is a non-trivial finite group for each  $i \ge 1$ . To construct a probability preserving (C, F)-action of G (see [Ju], [Da1], [DaS2]) we need to define two sequences  $(F_n)_{n\ge 0}$  and  $(C_n)_{n\ge 1}$  of finite subsets in G such that the following are satisfied:

(1.1)  $(F_n)_{n\geq 0}$  is a Folner sequence in G,  $F_0 = \{1_G\},\$ 

(1.2) 
$$F_n C_{n+1} \subset F_{n+1}, \quad C_{n+1} > 1,$$

(1.3) 
$$F_n c \cap F_n c' = \emptyset \quad \text{for all } c \neq c' \in C_{n+1},$$

(1.4) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\#F_n}{\#C_1 \cdots \#C_n} < \infty.$$

Suppose that an increasing sequence of integers  $0 < k_1 < k_2 < \cdots$  is given. Then we define  $(F_n)_{n\geq 0}$  by setting  $F_0 := \{1_G\}$  and  $F_n := \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} G_i$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Clearly, (1.1) is satisfied. Suppose now that we are also given a sequence of maps  $s_n: H_n \to F_n$ , where  $H_0 := \sum_{i=1}^{k_1} G_i$  and  $H_n := \sum_{i=k_n+1}^{k_{n+1}} G_i$  for  $n \ge 1$ . Then we define two sequences of maps  $c_{n+1}, \phi_n: H_n \to F_{n+1}$  by setting  $\phi_n(h) := (0, h)$  and  $c_{n+1}(h) := (s_n(h), h)$ . Finally, we let  $C_{n+1} := c_{n+1}(H_n)$  for all  $n \ge 0$ . It is easy to verify that (1.2)–(1.4) are all fulfilled. Moreover, a stronger version of (1.2) holds:

(1.5) 
$$F_n C_{n+1} = F_{n+1}.$$

We now put  $X_n := F_n \times C_{n+1} \times C_{n+2} \times \cdots$  and define a map  $i_n : X_n \to X_{n+1}$  by setting

$$i_n(f_n, d_{n+1}, d_{n+2}, \ldots) := (f_n d_{n+1}, d_{n+2}, \ldots).$$

Clearly,  $X_n$  is a compact Cantor space. It follows from (1.5) and (1.3) that  $i_n$  is well defined and it is a homeomorphism of  $X_n$  onto  $X_{n+1}$ . Denote by X the topological inductive limit of the sequence  $(X_n, i_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . As a topological space X is canonically homeomorphic to any  $X_n$  and in the sequel we will often identify X with  $X_n$  suppressing the canonical identification maps. We need the structure of inductive limit to define the (C, F)-action T on X as follows. Given  $g \in G$ , consider any  $n \geq 0$  such that  $g \in F_n$ . Every  $x \in X$  can be written as an infinite sequence  $x = (f_n, d_{n+1}, d_{n+2}, \ldots)$  with  $f_n \in F_n$  and  $d_m \in C_m$  for m > n (i.e. we identify X with  $X_n$ ). Now we put

$$T_g x := (gf_n, d_{n+1}, d_{n+2}, \ldots) \in X_n.$$

It is easy to verify that  $T_g$  is a well defined homeomorphism of X. Moreover,  $T_g T_{g'} = T_{gg'}$ , i.e.  $T := (T_g)_{g \in G}$  is a topological action of G on X.

Definition 1.1: We call T the (C, F)-action of G associated with the sequence  $(k_n, s_{n-1})_{n=1}^{\infty}$ .

We list without proof several properties of T. They can be verified easily by the reader (see also [Da1]).

- -T is a minimal uniquely ergodic (i.e. strictly ergodic) free action of G.
- Two points  $x = (f_n, d_{n+1}, d_{n+2}, ...)$  and  $x = (f'_n, d'_{n+1}, d'_{n+2}, ...) \in X_n$ are *T*-orbit equivalent if and only if  $d_i = d'_i$  eventually (i.e. for all large enough *i*). Moreover,  $x' = T_g x$  if and only if

$$g = \lim_{i \to \infty} f'_n d'_{n+1} \cdots d'_{n+i} d^{-1}_{n+i} \cdots d^{-1}_{n+1} f^{-1}_n$$

— The only T-invariant probability measure  $\mu$  on X is the product of the equidistributions on  $F_n$  and  $C_{n+i}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  (if X is identified with  $X_n$ ).

For each  $A \subset F_n$ , we let  $[A]_n := \{x = (f_n, d_{n+1}, \ldots) \in X_n | f_n \in A\}$  and call it an *n*-cylinder. The following holds:

$$\begin{split} & [A]_n \cap [B]_n = [A \cap B]_n, \quad \text{and} \quad [A]_n \cup [B]_n = [A \cup B]_n, \\ & [A]_n = \bigsqcup_{d \in C_{n+1}} [Ad]_{n+1}, \\ & T_g[A]_n = [gA]_n \quad \text{if } g \in F_n, \\ & \mu([Ad]_{n+1}) = \frac{1}{\#C_{n+1}} \mu([A]_n) \quad \text{for any } d \in C_{n+1}, \\ & \mu([A]_n) = \lambda_{F_n}(A), \end{split}$$

where  $\lambda_{F_n}$  is the normalized Haar measure on  $F_n$ . Moreover, for each measurable subset  $B \subset X$ ,

(1.6) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \min_{A \subseteq F_n} \mu(B \triangle [A]_n) = 0$$

Hence T has rank one.

# **2.** Mixing (C, F)-actions

Our purpose in this section is to construct a rank-one action of G which is mixing of any order. This action will appear as a (C, F)-action associated with some specially selected sequence  $(k_n, s_{n-1})_{n\geq 1}$ . We first state several preliminary results.

Given finite sets A and B and a map  $x \in A^B$ , we denote by dist x or  $\operatorname{dist}_{b \in B} x(b)$  the measure  $(\#B)^{-1} \sum_{b \in B} \chi_{x(b)}$  on A. Here  $\chi_{x(b)}$  stands for the probability supported at the point x(b).

LEMMA 2.1: Let A be a finite set and let  $\lambda$  be the equidistribution on A. Then for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist c > 0 and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any finite set B with #B > m,

$$\lambda^B(\{x \in A^B \mid \|\operatorname{dist} x - \lambda\| > \epsilon\}) < e^{-c\#B}$$

For the proof we refer to [Or] or [Ru]. We will also use the following combinatorial lemma.

LEMMA 2.2: For any  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $N_l := 3^{l(l-1)/2}$  and  $\delta_l := 5^{-l(l-1)/2}$ . Let H be a finite group. Then for any family  $h_1, \ldots, h_l$  of mutually different elements of H and any subset  $B \subset H$  with  $\#B > 3/\delta_l$ , there exists a partition of B into subsets  $B_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le N_l$ , such that the subsets  $h_1B_i, h_2B_i, \ldots, h_lB_i$  are mutually disjoint and  $\#B_i \ge \delta_l \#B$  for any i. A. I. DANILENKO

*Proof:* We leave to the reader the simplest case when l = 2. Hint: assume that  $h_1 = 1_H$  and consider the partition of H into the right cosets by the cyclic group generated by  $h_2$ .

Suppose that we have already proved the assertion of the lemma for some land we want to prove it for l + 1. Take any  $h_1 \neq h_2 \neq \cdots \neq h_{l+1} \in H$  (in such a way we denote mutually different elements of H). Given a subset  $B \subset H$ with  $\#B > 3/\delta_l$ , we first partition B into subsets  $B_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq N_l$ , such that the subsets  $h_2B_i, h_3B_i, \ldots, h_{l+1}B_i$  are mutually disjoint and  $\#B_i \geq \delta_l \#B \geq 3 \cdot 5^l$ . For every i, there exists a partition  $B_i = \bigsqcup_{i_1=1}^3 B_{i,i_1}$  such that  $h_1B_{i,i_1} \cap h_2B_{i,i_1} =$  $\emptyset$  and  $\#B_{i,i_1} \geq 0.2\#B_i$ ,  $1 \leq i_1 \leq 3$ . Next, we partition every  $B_{i,i_1}$  into 3 subsets  $B_{i,i_1,i_2}$  such that  $h_1B_{i,i_1,i_2} \cap h_3B_{i,i_1,i_2} = \emptyset$  and  $\#B_{i,i_1,i_2} \geq 0.2\#B_{i,i_1}$ ,  $1 \leq i_2 \leq 3$ , and so on. Finally, we obtain a partition

$$B = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{N_l} \bigsqcup_{i_1, \dots, i_l=1}^{3} B_{i, i_1, \dots, i_l}$$

which is as desired.

Given a finite set A, a finite group H and elements  $h_1, \ldots, h_l \in H$ , we denote by  $\pi_{h_1,\ldots,h_l}$  the map  $A^H \to (A^l)^H$  given by

$$(\pi_{h_1,\ldots,h_l}x)(k) = (x(h_1k),\ldots,x(h_lk)).$$

For  $x \in A^H$ , we define  $x^* \in A^H$  by setting  $x^*(h) := x(h^{-1}), h \in H$ .

LEMMA 2.3: Given  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any finite group H with #H > m, one can find  $s \in A^H$  such that

(2.1) 
$$\|\operatorname{dist} \pi_{h_1,\dots,h_l} s - \lambda^l\| < \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|\operatorname{dist} \pi_{h_1,\dots,h_l} s^* - \lambda^l\| < \epsilon$$

for all  $h_1 \neq h_2 \neq \cdots \neq h_l \in H$ .

*Proof:* Take any finite group H and set

$$B_H := \bigcup_{h_1 \neq \cdots \neq h_l \in H} \{ x \in A^H | \| \operatorname{dist} \pi_{h_1, \dots, h_l} x - \lambda^l \| > \epsilon \}.$$

To prove the left hand side inequality in (2.1) it suffices to show that  $\lambda^{H}(B_{H}) < 1$  whenever #H is large enough. Moreover, since the map  $A^{H} \ni x \mapsto x^{*} \in A^{H}$  preserves the measure  $\lambda^{H}$ , the right hand side inequality in (2.1) will follow from the left hand side one if we prove that  $\lambda^{H}(B_{H}) < 0.5$ .

Fix  $h_1 \neq \cdots \neq h_l \in H$  and apply Lemma 2.2 to partition H into subsets  $H_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq N_l$ , such that

(2.2)  $\#H_i \ge \delta_l \#H$  and

(2.3) the subsets  $h_1 H_i, \ldots, h_l H_i$  are mutually disjoint

for every *i*. Denote by  $r_i: (A^l)^H \to (A^l)^{H_i}$  the natural restriction map. Then we deduce from (2.3) that  $r_i \circ \pi_{h_1,\dots,h_l}$  maps  $\lambda^H$  onto  $(\lambda^l)^{H_i}$ . Since dist  $\pi_{h_1,\dots,h_l} x = \sum_i (\#H_i/\#H) \cdot \operatorname{dist}(r_i \circ \pi_{h_1,\dots,h_l})x$ , it follows that

$$\begin{split} \lambda^{H}(\{x \in A^{H} \mid \|\operatorname{dist} \pi_{h_{1},\dots,h_{l}} x - \lambda^{l} \| > \epsilon\}) \\ &\leq \sum_{i} \lambda^{H}(\{x \in A^{H} \mid \|\operatorname{dist}(r_{i} \circ \pi_{h_{1},\dots,h_{l}}) x - \lambda^{l} \| > \epsilon\}) \\ &= \sum_{i} (\lambda^{l})^{H_{i}}(\{y \in (A^{l})^{H_{i}} \mid \|\operatorname{dist} y - \lambda^{l} \| > \epsilon\}). \end{split}$$

By Lemma 2.2 and (2.2), there exists c > 0 such that if #H is large enough then the *i*-th term in the latter sum is less than  $e^{-c\#H_i} < e^{-c\delta_l\#H}$ . Hence

$$\lambda^{H}(B_{H}) \leq N_{l} \binom{\#H}{l} e^{-c\delta_{l}\#H}$$

and the assertion of the lemma follows.

Now we are ready to define the sequence  $(k_n, s_{n-1})_{n\geq 1}$ . Fix a sequence of positive reals  $\epsilon_n \to 0$ . On the first step one can take arbitrary  $k_1$  and  $s_0$ . Suppose now—on the *n*-th step—we already have  $k_n$  and  $s_{n-1}$  and we want to define  $k_{n+1}$  and  $s_n$ . For this, we apply Lemma 2.3 with  $A := F_n$ , l := n and  $\epsilon := \epsilon_n$  to find  $k_{n+1}$  large so that there exists  $s_n \in A^{H_n}$  satisfying

(2.4) 
$$\|\operatorname{dist} \pi_{h_1,\dots,h_n} s_n - (\lambda_{F_n})^n\| < \epsilon_n \quad \text{for all } h_1 \neq \dots \neq h_n \in H_n$$

Recall that  $H_n := \sum_{i=k_n+1}^{k_{n+1}} G_i$  and  $F_n := \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} G_i$  for  $n \ge 1$ . Without loss of generality we may also assume that  $k_{n+1} - k_n \ge n$  and hence  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\#H_n)^{-1} < \infty$ .

Denote by T the (C, F)-action of G on  $(X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu)$  associated with  $(k_n, s_{n-1})_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . THEOREM 2.4: T is mixing of any order.

**Proof:** (I) We first show that T is mixing (of order 1). Recall that a sequence  $g_n \to \infty$  in G is called **mixing for** T if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(T_{g_n} B_1 \cap B_2) = \mu(B_1)\mu(B_2) \quad \text{for all } B_1, B_2 \in \mathfrak{B}$$

A. I. DANILENKO

Clearly, T is mixing if and only if any sequence going to infinity in G contains a mixing subsequence. Since every subsequence of a mixing sequence is mixing itself, to prove (I) it suffices to show that every sequence  $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in G with  $g_n \in F_{n+1} \setminus F_n$  for all n is mixing. Notice first that there exist (unique)  $f_n \in F_n$ and  $h_n \in H_n \setminus \{1\}$  with  $g_n = f_n \phi_n(h_n)$ . Fix any two subsets  $A, B \subset F_n$ . We notice that for each  $h \in H_n$ ,

$$g_n A c_{n+1}(h) = f_n A s_n(h) \phi_n(h_n h) = f_n A s_n(h) s_n(h_n h)^{-1} c_{n+1}(h_n h)$$

and  $f_n A s_n(h) s_n(h_n h)^{-1} \subset F_n$ . Hence

$$\mu(T_{g_n}[A]_n \cap [B]_n) = \sum_{h \in H_n} \mu(T_{g_n}[Ac_{n+1}(h)]_{n+1} \cap [B]_n)$$
  

$$= \sum_{h \in H_n} \mu([f_n As_n(h)s_n(h_n h)^{-1}c_{n+1}(h_n h)]_{n+1} \cap [B]_n)$$
  

$$= \sum_{h \in H_n} \mu([(f_n As_n(h)s_n(h_n h)^{-1} \cap B)c_{n+1}(h_n h)]_{n+1})$$
  

$$= \frac{1}{\#H_n} \sum_{h \in H_n} \mu([f_n As_n(h)s_n(h_n h)^{-1} \cap B]_n)$$
  

$$= \frac{1}{\#H_n} \sum_{h \in H_n} \lambda_{F_n}(f_n As_n(h) \cap Bs_n(h_n h)).$$

We define a map  $r_{A,B}: F_n \times F_n \to \mathbb{R}$  by setting

$$r_{A,B}(g,g'):=\lambda_{F_n}(f_nAg\cap Bg').$$

Then it follows from (2.5) and (2.4) that

$$\begin{split} \mu(T_{g_n}[A]_n \cap [B]_n) &= \int_{F_n \times F_n} r_{A,B} d(\operatorname{dist} \pi_{1,h_n} s_n) \\ &= \int_{F_n \times F_n} r_{A,B} d\lambda_{F_n \times F_n} \pm \epsilon_n \\ &= \int_{F_n \times F_n} \lambda_{F_n} (f_n Ag \cap Bg') d\lambda_{F_n}(g) d\lambda_{F_n}(g') \pm \epsilon_n \\ &= \lambda_{F_n}(A) \lambda_{F_n}(B) \pm \epsilon_n \\ &= \mu([A]_n) \mu([B]_n) \pm \epsilon_n. \end{split}$$

Hence we have

(2.6) 
$$\max_{A,B\subset F_n} |\mu(T_{g_n}[A]_n \cap [B]_n) - \mu([A]_n)\mu([B]_n)| < \epsilon_n.$$

This and (1.6) imply that the sequence  $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is mixing.

(II) Now we fix l > 1 and prove that T is mixing of order l. To this end it is sufficient to show the following: given l+1 sequences  $(g_{0,n})_{n=1}^{\infty}, \ldots, (g_{l,n})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in G such that  $g_{i,n} \in F_{n+1}$  and  $g_{i,n}g_{j,n}^{-1} \notin F_n$  whenever  $i \neq j$ ,

$$\max_{A_0,...,A_l} |\mu(T_{g_{0,n}}[A_0]_n \cap \dots \cap T_{g_{l,n}}[A_l]_n) - \mu([A_0]_n) \cdots \mu([A_l]_n)| < \epsilon_n$$

for all n > l. Notice that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 \leq j \leq l$ , there exist unique  $f_{j,n} \in F_n$  and  $h_{j,n} \in H_n$  with  $g_{j,n} = f_{j,n}\phi_n(h_{j,n})$ . Moreover,  $h_{0,n} \neq h_{2,n} \cdots \neq h_{1,n}$ . Then slightly modifying the argument in (I), we compute

$$\mu(T_{g_{0,n}}[A_0]_n \cap \dots \cap T_{g_{l,n}}[A_l]_n)$$
(2.7) 
$$= \int_{F_n^l} \lambda_{F_n}(f_{0,n}A_0g_0 \cap \dots \cap f_{l,n}A_lg_l)d(\lambda_{F_n})^{l+1}(g_0,\dots,g_l) \pm \epsilon_n$$

$$= \lambda_{F_n}(A_0) \cdots \lambda_{F_n}(A_l) \pm \epsilon_n = \mu([A_0]_n) \cdots \mu([A_l]_n) \pm \epsilon_n.$$

To construct a weakly mixing rigid action of G we define another sequence  $(\tilde{k}_n, \tilde{s}_{n-1})_{n\geq 1}$ . When n is odd, we choose  $\tilde{k}_n$  and  $\tilde{s}_{n-1}$  to satisfy the following weaker version of (2.4):

(2.8) 
$$\max_{1 \neq h \in H_n} \|\operatorname{dist} \pi_{1,h} s_n - \lambda_{F_n} \times \lambda_{F_n}\| < \epsilon_n.$$

When n is even, we just set  $\widetilde{k}_n := \widetilde{k}_{n-1} + 1$  and  $\widetilde{s}_n \equiv 1_G$ . Denote by  $\widetilde{T}$  the (C, F)-action of G on  $(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}, \widetilde{\mu})$  associated with  $(\widetilde{k}_n, \widetilde{s}_{n-1})_{n=1}^{\infty}$ .

THEOREM 2.5:  $\tilde{T}$  is weakly mixing and rigid.

Proof: Take any sequence  $h_n \in H_{2n} \setminus \{1\}$ . It follows from part (I) of the proof of Theorem 2.4 and (2.8) that the sequence  $(\phi_{2n}(h_n))_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is mixing for  $\widetilde{T}$ . Clearly, it is also mixing for  $\widetilde{T} \times \widetilde{T}$ . Hence  $\widetilde{T} \times \widetilde{T}$  is ergodic, i.e.  $\widetilde{T}$  is weakly mixing.

Now take any sequence  $h_n \in H_{2n+1} \setminus \{1\}$ . Notice that (2.5) holds for any choice of  $(k_n, s_{n-1})_{n \geq 1}$ . Hence we deduce from (2.5) and the definition of  $\tilde{s}_{2n+1}$  that

$$\mu(\widetilde{T}_{\phi_{2n+1}(h_n)}[A]_{2n+1} \cap [B]_{2n+1}) = \lambda_{F_{2n+1}}(A \cap B) = \mu([A \cap B]_{2n+1})$$

for all subsets  $A, B \subset F_{2n+1}$ . This plus (1.6) yield

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(\widetilde{T}_{\phi_{2n+1}(h_n)}\widetilde{A} \cap \widetilde{B}) = \mu(\widetilde{A} \cap \widetilde{B})$$

for all  $\widetilde{A}, \widetilde{B} \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}$ . This means that  $\widetilde{T}$  is rigid.

### **3.** Self-joinings of T

This section is devoted entirely to the proof of the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.1: The action T constructed in the previous section has MSJ.

*Proof:* (I) We first show that T has MSJ<sub>2</sub>. Since T is weakly mixing, we need to establish that

$$J_2^e(T) = \{\mu_g \bullet \mid g \in G\} \cup \{\mu \times \mu\}.$$

Take any  $\nu \in J_2^e(T)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{F}_n$  denote the sub- $\sigma$ -algebra of  $(T_g \times T_g)_{g \in F_n}$ -invariant subsets. Then  $\mathfrak{F}_1 \supset \mathfrak{F}_2 \supset \cdots$  and  $\bigcap_n \mathfrak{F}_n = \{\emptyset, X \times X\} \pmod{\nu}$ . Since there are only countably many cylinders, we deduce from the martingale convergence theorem that for  $\nu$ -a.a. (x, x'),

(3.1) 
$$E(\chi_{B \times B'} | \mathfrak{F}_{n-1})(x, x') = \frac{1}{\#F_{n-1}} \sum_{g \in F_{n-1}} \chi_{B \times B'}(T_g x, T_g x') \to \nu(B \times B')$$

as  $n \to \infty$  for any pair of cylinders  $B, B' \subset X$ . Fix such a point (x, x'). It is called **generic** for  $(T \times T, \nu)$ . Given any n > 0, we can write x and x' as infinite sequences

$$x = (f_n, d_{n+1}, d_{n+2}, \ldots)$$
 and  $x' = (f'_n, d'_{n+1}, d'_{n+2}, \ldots)$ 

with  $f_n, f'_n \in F_n$  and  $d_i, d'_i \in C_i$  for all i > n. Recall that  $f_n := f_0 d_1 \cdots d_n$ and  $f'_n := f'_0 d'_1 \cdots d'_n$ . We set  $t_n := f'_n f_n^{-1}$ , n > 0. Fix a pair of cylinders, say *m*-cylinders, *B* and *B'*. If n > m and  $g \in F_n$  then  $T_g x' = (gf'_n, d'_{n+1}, d'_{n+2}, \ldots)$ . Hence  $T_g x' \in B'$  if and only if  $T_g T_{t_n} x \in B'$ . Therefore

$$\chi_{B\times B'}(T_g x, T_g x') = \chi_{T_g^{-1}B\cap T_{t_n}^{-1}T_g^{-1}B'}(x).$$

Since x is generic for  $(T, \mu)$ , it follows that

$$\lim_{l \to \infty} \frac{1}{\#F_l} \sum_{a \in F_l} \chi_{T_g^{-1}B \cap T_{t_n}^{-1}T_g^{-1}B'}(T_a x) = \mu(T_g^{-1}B \cap T_{t_n}^{-1}T_g^{-1}B').$$

Therefore (3.1) yields

(3.2) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\#F_{n-1}} \sum_{g \in F_{n-1}} \mu(T_g^{-1}B \cap T_{t_n}^{-1}T_g^{-1}B') = \nu(B \times B').$$

Consider now two cases. If  $t_n \notin F_{n-1}$  for infinitely many n, then passing to the limit in (3.2) along this subsequence and making use of (2.6) we obtain that  $\mu(B)\mu(B') = \nu(B \times B')$ . Hence  $\mu \times \mu = \nu$ . If, otherwise, there exists N > 0

such that  $t_n \in F_{n-1}$ , i.e.  $d_n = d'_n$ , for all n > N, then x and x' are T-orbit equivalent,  $t_n = t_N$  and

$$\frac{1}{\#F_{n-1}} \sum_{g \in F_{n-1}} \mu(T_g^{-1}B \cap T_{t_n}^{-1}T_g^{-1}B') = \frac{1}{\#F_N} \sum_{g \in F_N} \mu(B \cap T_g T_{t_N}^{-1}T_g^{-1}B')$$
$$= \mu_{(t_N^{-1})} \bullet (B \times B').$$

Passing to the limit in (3.1) we obtain that  $\nu = \mu_{(t_N^{-1})}$ .

(II) Now we fix l > 1 and show that T has  $MSJ_{l+1}$ . Take any joining  $\nu \in J_{l+1}^e(T)$  and fix a generic point  $(x_0, \ldots, x_l)$  for  $(T \times \cdots \times T, \nu)$ . Define a partition P of  $\{0, \ldots, l\}$  by setting:  $i_1$  and  $i_2$  are in the same atom of P if  $x_{i_1}$  and  $x_{i_2}$  are T-orbit equivalent. As in (I), for any n, we can write

$$x_j = (f_{j,n-1}, d_{j,n}, d_{j,n+1}, \ldots) \in X_{n-1}, \quad j = 0, \ldots, l.$$

Suppose first that #P = l+1, i.e. P is the finest possible. Then by the proof of (I), each 2-dimensional marginal of  $\nu$  is  $\mu \times \mu$ . Since  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\#C_i)^{-1} < \infty$  and  $\mu = \lambda_{F_0} \times \lambda_{C_1} \times \lambda_{C_2} \times \cdots$ , it follows from the Borel–Cantelli lemma that for  $\nu$ -a.a.  $(y_0, \ldots, y_l) \in X^{l+1}$ ,

$$\exists N > 0$$
 such that  $y_{0,i} \neq y_{1,i} \neq \cdots \neq y_{l,i}$  whenever  $i > N$ ,

where  $y_{j,i} \in C_i$  is the *i*-th coordinate of  $y_j \in F_0 \times C_1 \times C_2 \times \cdots$ . Hence without loss of generality we may assume that this condition is satisfied for  $(x_0, \ldots, x_l)$ . Thus, if we set  $t_{j,n} := f_{j,n} f_{0,n}^{-1} = f_{j,n-1} d_{j,n} d_{0,n}^{-1} f_{0,n-1}^{-1}$  then  $t_{j,n} t_{i,n}^{-1} \notin F_{n-1}$  whenever  $i \neq j$ . Slightly modifying our reasoning in (I) and making use of (2.7) instead of (2.6) we now obtain

$$\nu(B_0 \times \dots \times B_l) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{g \in F_{n-1}} \chi_{B_0 \times \dots \times B_l}(T_g x_0, \dots, T_g x_l)$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{g \in F_{n-1}} \chi_{B_0 \times \dots \times B_l}(T_g x_0, T_g T_{t_{1,n}} x_0, \dots, T_g T_{t_{l,n}} x_0)$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{g \in F_{n-1}} \mu(T_g B_0 \cap T_{t_{1,n}}^{-1} T_g B_1 \cap \dots \cap T_{t_{l,n}}^{-1} T_g B_l)$$
$$= \mu(B_0) \cdots \mu(B_l)$$

for any (l+1)-tuple of cylinders  $B_0, \ldots, B_l$ . Hence  $\nu = \mu \times \cdots \times \mu$ .

Consider now the general case and put  $t_{j,n} := f_{j,n} f_{i_p,n}^{-1}$  for each  $j \in p, p \in P$ . Recall that  $i_p = \min_{j \in p} j$ . Then

$$\chi_{B_0 \times \cdots \times B_l}(T_g x_0, \ldots, T_g x_l) = \prod_{p \in P} \chi_{A_p}(x_{i_p}),$$

where  $A_p := \bigcap_{j \in p} T_{t_{j,n}}^{-1} T_g^{-1} B_j$ . Notice that the point  $(x_{i_p})_{p \in P} \in X^{\{i_p \mid p \in P\}}$  is generic for  $(T \times \cdots \times T(\#P \text{ times}), \kappa)$ , where  $\kappa$  stands for the projection of  $\nu$ onto  $X^{\{i_p \mid p \in P\}}$ . By the first part of (II),  $\kappa = \mu \times \cdots \times \mu$  (#P times). Hence

$$\nu(B_0 \times \dots \times B_l) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\#F_{n-1}} \sum_{g \in F_{n-1}} \chi_{B_0 \times \dots \times B_l}(T_g x_0, \dots, T_g x_l)$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\#F_{n-1}} \sum_{g \in F_{n-1}} \prod_{p \in P} \mu(A_p).$$

As in (I), a 'stabilization' property holds: there exists M > 0 such that  $t_{j,n} = t_{j,M}$  for all n > M. We now set  $g := (t_{0,M}^{-1}, \ldots, t_{l,M}^{-1})$ . Clearly, g is P-subordinated. Hence

$$\nu(B_0 \times \dots \times B_l) = \frac{1}{\#F_M} \sum_{g \in F_M} \prod_{p \in P} \mu\left(\bigcap_{j \in p} T_g T_{t_{j,M}} T_g^{-1} B_j\right) = \mu_{g^{\bullet l}}(B_0 \times \dots \times B_l). \blacksquare$$

# 4. Uncountably many mixing actions with MSJ

In this section the proof of Theorems 0.1(i) and 0.4 will be completed. We first apply Lemma 2.3 to construct  $k_{n+1}$  and  $s_n, \hat{s}_n \in F_n^{H_n}$  in such a way that (2.4) is satisfied for both  $s_n$  and  $\hat{s}_n$  and, in addition,

(4.1) 
$$\| \underset{h \in H_n}{\operatorname{dist}} (s_n(hk), \widehat{s}_n(hk')) - \lambda_{F_n} \times \lambda_{F_n} \| < \epsilon_n$$

for all  $k, k' \in H_n$ . Next, given  $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define  $s_n^{\sigma}: H_n \to F_n$  by setting

$$s_n^{\sigma} = \begin{cases} s_n & \text{if } \sigma(n) = 0, \\ \widehat{s}_n & \text{if } \sigma(n) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Now we denote by  $T^{\sigma}$  the (C, F)-action of G associated with  $(k_n, s_{n-1}^{\sigma})_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be an uncountable subset of  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that for any  $\sigma, \sigma' \in \Sigma$ , the subset  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} | \sigma(n) \neq \sigma'(n)\}$  is infinite.

THEOREM 4.1:

- (i) For any  $\sigma \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , the action  $T^{\sigma}$  is mixing and has MSJ.
- (ii) If  $\sigma, \sigma' \in \Sigma$  and  $\sigma \neq \sigma'$  then  $T^{\sigma}$  and  $T^{\sigma'}$  are disjoint.

Proof: (i) follows from the proof of Theorem 3.1, since (2.4) is satisfied for  $s_n^{\sigma}$  for all  $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(ii) Let  $\nu \in J^e(T^{\sigma}, T^{\sigma'})$ . Take a generic point (x, x') for  $(T^{\sigma} \times T^{\sigma'}, \nu)$ . Consider any *n* such that  $\sigma(n) \neq \sigma'(n)$ . Then we can write *x* and *x'* as infinite sequences  $x = (f_n, d_{n+1}, d_{n+2}, ...)$  and  $x' = (f'_n, d'_{n+1}, d'_{n+2}, ...)$  with  $f_n, f'_r \in F_n$  and  $d_m, d'_m \in C_m$  for all m > n. Take any  $g \in F_{n+1}$ . Then we have the following expansions:

$$g = a\phi_n(h), \quad d_{n+1} = s_n^{\sigma}(h_n)\phi_n(h_n) \quad \text{and} \quad d'_{n+1} = s_n^{\sigma'}(h'_n)\phi_n(h'_n)$$

for some uniquely determined  $a \in F_n$  and  $h, h_n, h'_n \in H_n$ . Since

$$\begin{split} gf_n d_{n+1} &= af_n s_n^{\sigma}(h_n) s_n^{\sigma}(hh_n)^{-1} c_{n+1}(hh_n) \quad \text{and} \\ gf_n' d_{n+1}' &= af_n' s_n^{\sigma'}(h_n') s_n^{\sigma'}(hh_n')^{-1} c_{n+1}(hh_n'), \end{split}$$

the following holds for any pair of subsets  $A, A' \subset F_n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\#\{g \in F_{n+1} | (T_g^{\sigma}x, T_g^{\sigma'}x') \in [A]_n \times [A']_n\}}{\#F_{n+1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\#F_n} \sum_{a \in F_n} \frac{\#\{h \in H_n | af_n s_n^{\sigma}(h_n) s_n^{\sigma}(hh_n)^{-1} \in A, af'_n s_n^{\sigma'}(h'_n) s_n^{\sigma'}(hh'_n)^{-1} \in A'\}}{\#H_n} \\ &= \frac{1}{\#F_n} \sum_{a \in F_n} \xi_n (A^{-1}af_n s_n^{\sigma}(h_n) \times A'^{-1}af'_n s_n^{\sigma'}(h'_n)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\xi_n := \operatorname{dist}_{h \in H_n}(s_n^{\sigma}(hh_n), s_n^{\sigma'}(hh'_n))$ . This and (4.1) yield

(4.2) 
$$\frac{\#\{g \in F_{n+1} | (T_g^{\sigma} x, T_g^{\sigma'} x') \in [A]_n \times [A']_n\}}{\#F_{n+1}} = \lambda_{F_n}(A)\lambda_{F_n}(A') \pm \epsilon_n$$
$$= \mu([A]_n)\mu([A']_n) \pm \epsilon_n.$$

Since (x, x') is generic for  $(T^{\sigma} \times T^{\sigma'}, \nu)$  and (4.2) holds for infinitely many n, we deduce that  $\nu = \mu \times \mu$ .

By refining the above argument the reader can strengthen Theorem 0.1(i) as follows: there exists an uncountable family of mixing (of any order) rank-one *G*-actions with MSJ such that any finite subfamily of it is disjoint.

### 5. On G-actions with MSJ

It follows immediately from Definition 0.2 that if T has  $MSJ_2$  then the centralizer C(T) of T is 'trivial', i.e.  $C(T) = \{T_g | g \in C(G)\}$ , where C(G) denotes the center of G. Moreover, we will show that T has trivial product centralizer (as D. Rudolph did in [Ru] for  $\mathbb{Z}$ -actions).

Let  $(X^l, \mathfrak{B}^{\otimes l}, \mu^l, T^{(l)})$  denote the *l*-fold Cartesian product of  $(X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu, T)$ . Given a permutation  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, \ldots, l\}$  and  $g_1, \ldots, g_n \in C(T)$ , we define a transformation  $U_{\sigma,g_1,\ldots,g_l}$  of  $(X^l, \mathfrak{B}^{\otimes l}, \mu^l, T^{(l)})$  by setting

$$U_{\sigma,g_1,\ldots,g_l}(x_1,\ldots,x_l):=(T_{g_1}x_{\sigma(1)},\ldots,T_{g_l}x_{\sigma(l)}).$$

Of course,  $U_{\sigma,g_1,\ldots,g_l} \in C(T^{(l)})$ . We show that for the actions with MSJ, the converse also holds.

PROPOSITION 5.1: If T has MSJ then, for any  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , each element of  $C(T^{(l)})$  equals to  $U_{\sigma,g_1,\ldots,g_l}$  for some permutation  $\sigma$  and elements  $g_1,\ldots,g_l \in C(G)$ .

Proof: Let  $S \in C(T^{(l)})$ . We define an ergodic 2-fold self-joining  $\nu$  of  $T^{(l)}$  by setting  $\nu(A \times B) := \mu^l(A \cap S^{-1}B)$  for all  $A, B \in \mathfrak{B}^{\otimes l}$ . Notice that  $\nu \in J^e_{2l}(T)$ . Since T has  $MSJ_{2l}$ , there exists a partition P of  $\{1, \ldots, 2l\}$  and a P-subordinated element  $g = (g_1, \ldots, g_{2l}) \in FC(G)^{2l}$  such that

(5.1) 
$$\nu(A_1 \times \dots \times A_{2l}) = \frac{1}{\#g^{\bullet 2l}} \sum_{(h_1, \dots, h_{2l}) \in g^{\bullet 2l}} \prod_{p \in P} \mu\left(\bigcap_{i \in p} T_{h_i} A_i\right)$$

for all subsets  $A_1, \ldots, A_{2l} \in \mathfrak{B}$ . Substituting at first  $A_1 = \cdots = A_l = X$  and then  $A_{l+1} = \cdots = A_{2l} = X$  in (5.1), we derive that #P = l, #p = 2 for all  $p \in P$  and  $\#g^{\bullet 2l} = 1$ . Hence  $g_1, \ldots, g_{2l} \in C(G)$  and there exists a bijection  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, \ldots, l\}$  such that  $P = \{\{i, \sigma(i) + l\} | i = 1, \ldots, l\}$ . Therefore it follows from (5.1) that

$$S^{-1}(A_{l+1} \times \cdots \times A_{2l}) = T_{g_{l+1}} A_{l+\sigma(1)} \times \cdots \times T_{g_{2l}} A_{l+\sigma(l)}.$$

As a simple corollary we derive that if T has MSJ then the G-actions  $T, T^{(2)}, \ldots$  and  $T \times T \times \cdots$  are pairwise non-isomorphic.

After this paper was submitted the author introduced a companion to MSJ concept of *near simplicity* for actions of locally compact second countable groups [Da3]. As appeared, this concept is more general than the simplicity in the sense of A. del Junco and D. Rudolph [JuR] even for Z-actions. For instance, there exist near simple transformations which are disjoint from all del Junco-Rudolph's simple ones. It is shown in [Da3] that an analogue of Veech's theorem on the structure of factors holds for this extended class of simple actions. In particular, if T has  $MSJ_2$ , then for every non-trivial factor  $\mathfrak{F}$  of T there exists a compact normal subgroup K of G such that

$$\mathfrak{F} = \operatorname{Fix} K := \{ A \in \mathfrak{B} | \ \mu(T_k A \triangle A) = 0 \text{ for all } k \in K \}.$$

Thus if T has  $MSJ_2$  then T is effectively prime, i.e. T has no effective factors. (Recall that a G-action Q is called effective if  $Q_g \neq Id$  for each  $g \neq 1_G$ .)

#### References

- [Ad] T. Adams, Smorodinsky's conjecture on rank one systems, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 126 (1998), 739–744.
- [AdS] T. Adams and C. E. Silva,  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -staircase actions, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems **19** (1999), 837–850.
- [CrS] D. Creutz and C. E. Silva, Mixing on a class of rank-one transformations, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems 24 (2004), 407–440.
- [Da1] A. I. Danilenko, Funny rank-one weak mixing for nonsingular Abelian actions, Israel Journal of Mathematics 121 (2001), 29–54.
- [Da2] A. I. Danilenko, Infinite rank one actions and nonsingular Chacon transformations, Illinois Journal of Mathematics 48 (2004), 769–786.
- [Da3] A. I. Danilenko, On simplicity concepts for ergodic actions, preprint.
- [DaS1] A. I. Danilenko and C. E. Silva, Multiple and polynomial recurrence for Abelian actions in infinite measure, Journal of the London Mathematical Society (2) 69 (2004), 183–200.
- [DaS2] A. I. Danilenko and C. E. Silva, Mixing rank-one actions of locally compact Abelian groups, preprint.
- [Fa] B. Fayad, Rank one and mixing differentiable flows, Inventiones Mathematicae 160 (2005), 305–340.
- [Ju] A. del Junco, A simple map with no prime factors, Israel Journal of Mathematics **104** (1998), 301–320.
- [JuR] A. del Junco and D. Rudolph, On ergodic actions whose self-joinings are graphs, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems 7 (1987), 531–557.
- [JuY] A. del Junco and R. Yassawi, Multiple mixing rank one group actions, Canadian Journal of Mathematics 52 (2000), 332–347.
- [Ka] S. A. Kalikow, Twofold mixing implies threefold mixing for rank one transformations, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems 4 (1984), 237–259.
- [Ki] J. L. King, Joining-rank and the structure of finite rank mixing transformations, Journal d'Analyse Mathématique 51 (1988), 182–227.
- [Ma] B. Madore, Rank-one group actions with simple mixing Z-subactions, New York Journal of Mathematics 10 (2004), 175–194.
- [Or] D. S. Ornstein, On the root problem in ergodic theory, in Proc. Sixth Berkley Symp. Math. Stat. Prob. (Univ. California, Berkeley, Calif., 1970/1971), Vol. II, University of California Press, Berkeley, Calif., 1972, pp. 347–356.
- [Pr] A. Prikhodko, Stochastic constructions of flows of rank one, Matematicheskii Sbornik 192 (2001), 61–92.

- [Ru] D. J. Rudolph, An example of a measure preserving map with minimal selfjoinings, Journal d'Analyse Mathématique 35 (1979), 97-122.
- [Ry] V. V. Ryzhikov, Mixing, rank and minimal self-joining of actions with invariant measure, Matematicheskii Sbornik 183 (1992), 133–160.